

BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY

1422 HOWE AVENUE, SUITE 22 SACRAMENTO, CA 95825-3200 (916) 263-2699 www.psychboard.ca.gov



April 18, 2005

Kurt Bickford, Ph.D. 511 Brookside Avenue Redlands, CA 92373

RE:

Psychology's License No. PSY 12268

Case Number W248

PUBLIC LETTER OF REPRIMAND

During a period in 2000, you engaged in an inappropriate dual relationship with a patient by involving the patient in your Amway business. Said conduct constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Business and Professional Code section 2960.

Pursuant to the authority contained in California Business and Professions Code section 495, you are hereby issued a Public Letter of Reprimand.

Thomas O'Connor Executive Officer

DECISION AND ORDER

OF THE

BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order in case number <u>W248</u> , is				
hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer				
Affairs. An effective date of June 25	, 2004 has been assigned to this			
Decision and Order.				
Made this 26th day of May	, 2004.			

Jacqueline Horn, Ph.D.
President, Board of Psychology
Department of Consumer Affairs

04 HAY 21 AM 9: 24

Bickford, Kurt

i i	•	
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General	
2	of the State of California SAMUEL K. HAMMOND, State Bar No. 141135	
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, California 92101	
5	P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3039	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	*	
10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
11		
12	STATE OF CALII	FORNIA
13		
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. W248
15	KURT BICKFORD, PH.D. 511 Brookside Avenue	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT
16	Redlands, CA 92373	AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
.17	Psychologist's Licence No. 12268	
18	Respondent	
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND	AGREED by and between the parties to
20	the above-entitled proceedings that the following matt	ters are true:
21	1. Accusation No. W248 was filed	d with the California Board of Psychology
22	Department of Consumer Affairs (the "Board"), on January 17, 2003, and is currently pending	
23	against Kurt Bickford, Ph.D. (the "Respondent").	
24	2. The Accusation, together with	all statutorily required documents, was
25	duly served on the Respondent on or about January 17, 2003, and Respondent has filed a Notice	
26	of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of the Accusation is attached as Exhibit "A" and	
27	hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.	
28	///	

- 3. Complainant, Thomas O'Connor is the Executive Officer of the Board of Psychology and brought this action solely in his official capacity. The Complainant is represented by the Attorney General of California, Bill Lockyer, by and through Deputy Attorney General Samuel K. Hammond.
- 4. At all times relevant herein, Respondent has been licensed by the Board under Psychologist's License No. 12268. There is no record of a previous disciplinary action against Respondent's psychologist's license.
- 5. Respondent is represented by O. Brandt Caudill, Esq., Law Offices of Callahan, McCune & Willis, 111 Fashion Lane, Tustin, CA 92780.
- 6. The Board and Respondent are desirous of resolving this matter without a hearing or further administrative proceedings, and without incurring further expenses.
- Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his psychologist's license. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation, his right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, his right to the use of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the charges, his right to reconsideration, court review and any and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent knowingly, voluntarily and irrevocably waives and gives up each of these rights, and agrees that his request for a hearing be withdrawn, and that this matter be resolved in accordance with the following agreement.
- 9. The admissions made by Respondent herein are for the purpose of this proceeding and any other proceedings in which the Board or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings. Parties agree that facsimile copies of this stipulation in settlement, including signatures of the parties, may be used in lieu of the original documents and signatures. The facsimile copies have the same force and effect as the originals.

17.

10. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties.

AGREEMENT

11. In lieu of continuing with the proceedings on the Accusation, the parties agree that, in settlement and resolution of this matter, Respondent shall do the following:

A. ETHICS COURSE:

Within 90 days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval a course in laws and ethics as they relate to the practice of psychology. Said course must be successfully completed at an accredited educational institution or through a provider approved by the Board's accreditation agency for continuing education credit. Said course must be taken and completed within one year from the effective date of this Decision. This course must be in addition to any continuing education courses that may be required for license renewal. The cost associated with the law and ethics course shall be paid by the Respondent.

B. **COURSEWORK:**

Respondent shall take and successfully complete not less than 20 hours coursework in the area of dual relationships. The coursework must be pre-approved by the Board or its designee. The coursework shall be taken at the graduate level at an accredited institution or by an approved continuing education provider. Classroom attendance is specifically required; correspondence or home study coursework shall not count toward meeting this requirement. The coursework shall be completed within one year of the effective date of this Decision. The coursework must be in addition to any continuing education courses required for license renewal.

Within 90 days of the effective date of the Decision. Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval a plan meeting the educational requirements. All costs associated with the coursework shall be paid by the Respondent.

C. <u>COST RECOVERY</u>: Respondent shall reimburse the Board the amount of two thousand (\$2,000) for its investigative and prosecution costs. The costs may be paid by installment provided, however, that the entire amount shall be paid within six months of the effective date of this Decision. Failure to reimburse the Board's costs shall constitute a violation of this agreement, unless the Board agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent off his pesponsibility to reimburse the Division for its costs.

12. If Respondent successfully completes the ethics course, the additional coursework and reimburses the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution as outlined in paragraph 11, above, the Board agrees to cause to be issued forthwith a Public Letter of Reprimand in the form contained in Exhibit "B" attached.

13. Failure to comply with the terms outlined in paragraph 11 constitutes unprofessional conduct and a violation of the Business and Professions Code section 2960, and if Respondent fails to comply with any of the terms of paragraph 11, the pending Accusation shall be amended to allege said failure to comply as a basis for further discipline, and the pending Accusation shall be returned to the calendar of the Office of Administrative Hearings for a hearing on an expedited basis.

CONTINGENCY

This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the California Board of Psychology. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 ///

ACCEPTANCE

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained in therein with my attorney, O. Brandt Caudill, Esq. I understand the effect this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order will have on my psychologist's license, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter this stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily.

DATED: 03 - 29 - 04

KURT BICKFORD, Ph. D. RESPONDENT

I have read and fully discussed with respondent Kurt Bickford, Ph.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary order.

DATED:

O. BRANDT CAUDILL, ESQ.

21 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

ACCEPTANCE

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I have fully			
discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained in therein with my attorney, O.			
Brandt Caudill, Esq. I understand the effect this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order			
will have on my psychologist's license, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter this stipulation			
freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily.			

DATED:

KURT BICKFORD, Ph. D. RESPONDENT

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

I have read and fully discussed with respondent Kurt Bickford, Ph.D., the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary order.

DATED: 3 3 10 4

O. BRANDT CAUDILL, ESQ.

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for the consideration of the California Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: March 29, 2004

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

SAMUEL K. HAMMOND Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibits: Accusation;

Form Public Letter of Reprimand

EXHIBIT A

I	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General		
2	of the State of California SAMUEL K. HAMMOND, State Bar No. 141135		
	Deputy Attorney General		
3	California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
4	San Diego, CA 92101	711 Pm	
5	P.O. Box 85266	FILED State of California	
	San Diego, CA 92186-5266	BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY CRAMENTO SAMON 17 20 03	
6	Telephone: (619) 645-2083 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	M. Jaackmann ANALYST	
7	Attorneys for Compleinant	O	
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE 7	rer.	
	BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. W248	
13	KURT BICKFORD, Ph.D.	ACCUSATION	
14	511 Brookside Avenue Redlands, CA 92373		
15	Psychologist's License No. PSY 12268		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIE	<u>s</u>	
20	1. Thomas S. O'Connor (Compla	inant) brings this Accusation solely in his	
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer		
22	Affairs.		
23	2. On or about August 5, 1991, t	he Board of Psychology issued	
24	Psychologist's License No. PSY 12268 to Kurt Bickford (Respondent). Said license was in full		
25	force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30,		
26	2003, unless renewed.		
27	///		
28	· ///		

regulations.1

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

1. All statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

This Accusation is brought before the Board of Psychology (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the below mentioned statutes and

Section 2960 of the Code states:

The board may refuse to issue any registration or license, or may issue a registration or license with terms and conditions, or may suspend or revoke the registration or license of any registrant or licensee if the applicant, registrant, or licensee has been guilty of unprofessional conduct. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not be limited to:

(i) Being grossly negligent in the practice of his or her profession.

3.

(r) Repeated acts of negligence."

Section 2964.6 of the Code states: 5.

An administrative disciplinary decision that imposes terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee who is being placed on probation pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation.

6. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

///

23 ///

///

///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

7. Respondent, Kurt Bickford, Ph.D., is subject to disciplinary action in connection with the care and management of patient J.H. The circumstances are as follows:

C.

neck pain" and "cervical failed neck syndrome" by medical doctors. These physicians maintained the patient on pain medication which included Darvocet, Propacet, Zoloft, Clonazepam,

Klonopin, Lorazapam, Arivan and Tylenol. During this period, respondent also continued to

A. On or about April 12, 1994, respondent commenced rendering psychological services to Patient J.H. at respondent's facility known as Bickford, Covington & Associates. The patient suffered injury to his cervical spine in a work-related incident in about January 5, 1994. In about March, the patient was diagnosed with "severe cervical spondylosis C4-5, C5-6 and C6-7," among other things. The patient's primary care physician referred the patient to respondent for treatment of the patient's severe depression caused "work-related pressures" and by the pain associated with the neck injury. Respondent's diagnosis included major and severe depression with psychotic features, dysthymic disorder, anxiety disorder and borderline personality disorder. From this date until about June 13, 1995, respondent had approximately 39 sessions with the patient. Respondent failed to generate and maintain accurate, clear and adequate notes of the sessions.

B. Thereafter, respondent continued to provide psychological services to this patient until about 2001. The patient's history included a history of severe and recurrent child abuse. The patient also had a history of alcohol abuse, pain medication abuse, paranoia and severe depression. The patient's depressive episodes included suicidal ideation with three documented attempts at suicide. He was hospitalized for a week because of his suicidal thoughts. Sometime in 1994 or 1995, respondent became the patient's qualifying psychologist for the patient's disability eligibility claims.

about 1995, 1997 and 2000, the patient underwent three separate cervical spine surgeries. These

did not relieve the patient of his neck pain. The patient was diagnosed with "intractable cervical

Also, during this period, the patient continued to have pain in his neck. In

26 ///

therapeutic services he provided to patient J.H.

27

28 //

1 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 2 (Repeated Negligent Acts) 3 Respondent Kurt Bickford, Ph.D, is further subject to disciplinary action 9. for unprofessional conduct in that he engaged in repeated negligent acts in violation of Code 4 5 section 2960(r) in that: Respondent engaged in an inappropriate dual relationship with patient J.H. 6 by involving the patient in his Amway business. 7 Respondent exploited the therapeutic relationship between him and patient 8 9 J.H. for respondent's financial gain. 10 Respondent engaged in undue influence and misuse of therapeutic power by soliciting patient J.H. purchase and sell Amway products. 11 Respondent failed to generate accurate and adequate records of the 12 therapeutic services he provided to patient J.H. 13 14 /// 15 /// 16 1/// 17 18 /// 19 []] 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 [][24 25 [[] 26

27

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Psychology issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Psychologist's License No. PSY 12268, issued to respondent Kurt Bickford, Ph.D.;
- 2. Ordering respondent to pay the Board of Psychology the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: January 17, 2003

THOMAS S. O'CONNOR

Executive Officer Board of Psychology

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

27 | SKH:mso 1/2/03

i:\all\hammond\Bickford - Acc

28 | 03598160-SD2002AD0931

EXHIBIT B



BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY

1422 HOWE AVENUE, SUITE 22 SACRAMENTO, CA 95825-3200 (916) 263-2699 www.psychboard.ca.gov



DRAFT

Kurt Bickford, Ph.D. 511 Brookside Avenue Redlands, CA 92373

RE:

Psychology's License No. PSY 11392

Case Number W247

PUBLIC LETTER OF REPRIMAND

During a period in 2000, you engaged in an inappropriate dual relationship with a patient by involving the patient in your Amway business. Said conduct constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of Business and Professional Code section 2960.

Pursuant to the authority contained in California Business and Professions Code section 495, you are hereby issued a Public Letter of Reprimand.

Thomas O'Connor Executive Officer